



# Data / Specification Sheet Task Group

IoPP Medical Device Packaging  
Technical Committee



# Charter Statement

- ◆ The Data Sheet Task Group seeks to understand how specification sheets / data sheets are used by suppliers and users of medical packaging in order to determine if there are opportunities to increase the efficiency, accuracy or usefulness of this communication.



# Objectives

- ◆ Determine how data sheets are currently used
- ◆ Determine if there are any needs going unfulfilled
- ◆ Determine if there are any improvements possible
- ◆ Propose data sheet improvements to IoPP Medical Packaging Technical Committee.



# Membership

- ◆ Brett Baker
- ◆ Dhuanne Dodrill
- ◆ Nick Fotis
- ◆ Jennifer Neid
- ◆ John Ozcomert
- ◆ Geoff Pavey



# Survey

- ◆ 8 question survey sent via e-mail to Medical Packaging Technical Committee
  - Determine how often used
  - For what purpose
  - If there is a high satisfaction level
  - Ways to improve the communication process



# Survey data

- ◆ Responses received from 10 individuals (14% response rate)
- ◆ All used data sheets frequently – some daily or weekly
- ◆ How used?
  - Comparative performance
  - Specific physical properties
  - Develop specifications and MSDS documents
  - Comparison with competitor materials



# Survey results

- ◆ What information do you use?
  - All standard physical properties
    - Thickness, yield, tensile, modulus % elongation, WVTR, O<sub>2</sub>TR, Porosity, Sterilization compatibility, Tear strength, Impact, haze, gloss, seal strength, composition.
  - Application and usage information



- ◆ What additional information do you need?
  - Accelerated aging and shelf life info
  - Flexcrack values, barrier properties
  - Nitrogen transmission rate for vacuum decay calculations
  - Lamination layer % thicknesses, adhesives used
  - Heat seal performance window
  - Material additives
  - Effect of time / heat / cold
  - Creep
  - FDA status
  - Melting points, softening points



# How useful?

- ◆ 66% very useful
- ◆ 22% somewhat useful
- ◆ 12% not useful
  
- ◆ Of somewhat or not useful, usual responses were:
  - “Usually not enough information to help”
  - “Some are too arbitrary to use, some are marketing tools not Product data sheets. Proprietary information references are not useful at all”



# How access? How valid

- ◆ Most through sales reps
- ◆ Some through Internet
- ◆ Everyone reported that the data sheets were reliable and representative of data found during later testing.
  - Some noted the biggest differences were in lab method or limited run sizes.



# Ways to improve data sheets?

- ◆ Make available on the web (without password protection)
- ◆ Keep graphics to a minimum
- ◆ More application specific data: seal windows, forming characteristics (corner thickness for given aspect ratio)
- ◆ “Get off the Marketing approach and re-focus data sheets for use of information and specification. “I don’t care about how glossy the paper is or how many times the logo appears – give me more relevant information with testing to support claims.”



## Ways to improve (cont.)

- ◆ Standardize format for all products so you don't have to look all over for the data
- ◆ List the exact test method used.
- ◆ Don't list data for vendor-developed tests without a way to compare to standard test methods.
- ◆ Links to design guides and selected vendor publications.



# Conclusions from survey

- ◆ Important, reliable and very frequently used form of communication between suppliers and users.
- ◆ Some opportunity for improvement
  - Technical data vs. marketing
  - Web based



# Possible action plans

- ◆ Make a Generic Data Sheet as an example:
  - Order test properties
  - Test methods
  - Mean, Standard deviation and sample size
  - Arrange per 11607 organization
- ◆ Coordinate w/ FPA's Sterile Packaging Manufacturer's Council
- ◆ Coordinate with AAMI and USP standards
- ◆ Investigate “seal of compliance” statement for Data sheets complying with this Data Sheet Guidance document